

Federal

- *Legislation*
 - *What can we expect will be the Congressional activity until the midterms or will the action stay what appears to be symbolic?*
 - *In light of the Supreme Court's rulings, will there be more action in Congress to address their outfall of their decisions.*

- *Policing*
 - Is policing reform still a topic of possible action in Congress?*

- *Climate Change*
 - *With the first-ever Colorado River water shortage declaration issued last year, what is the Federal government doing to ensure water reliability for not just Southern California but the entire southwest?*

State

- *State Budget Surplus*
 - *What are the most important programs that the State Budget will be funding and what are the most innovative?*
 - *SBCCOG needs funding for the new South Bay Regional Housing Trust that we are creating. Can we get your support to carry a trailer bill or put funding into a trailer bill so that we can get started ASAP?*
 - *SBCCOG also has asked for funding to help the state carry out its goals more effective and efficiently on the local level. This would be in ghg emission reduction, promotion of the zero emission local travel network, working with our cities on electric storage and renewable energy programs and more outlined in a letter sent to their offices on June 2. Can we have your support for funding in a trailer bill? We are ready to go with no funding programs available to us.*

- *Housing and the Drought – submitted by a councilmember*
 - *With record drought conditions and severe restrictions on water usage for everyone, why does the state continue to demand increased housing starts? Why don't we just admit we don't have enough resources to support increased populations and place a moratorium on building? The moratorium could be temporary, and dependent upon when/if we are no longer facing this desperate shortage.*

When public policies are in direct conflict it makes government appear to be inept, hypocritical and untrustworthy.....which scenario is the public supposed to accept? It's embarrassing to try to explain the indefensible to any constituent.

Also, do the legislators put pressure on water utilities to continue to certify during approval phases that there is enough water to serve all of the new building

development throughout the state? In my opinion the legislators are not protecting the public's interests if they do not encourage the water providers to be honest about supply availability for new housing projects.

- *Housing*

- *We are still concerned about how the state expects to provide housing that is affordable. Can you help us understand?*

- *Will the State evaluate the community impacts of the countless housing-related mandates that have been passed in recent sessions? Can the State re-visit prior legislation to study the effectiveness of the policies put in place, particularly those that remove local control over land use and zoning issues?*