

**SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
INFRASTRUCTURE WORKING GROUP (IWG) LUNCH MEETING**

Wednesday, March 13, 2019

LOCATION: Blue Water Grill, 665 North Harbor Drive, Redondo Beach 90277

IWG SOCIAL & ORDER LUNCH - 11:30 A. M. to Noon

IWG MEETING – 12:00 P. M. to 1:30 P. M.

IWG meetings rotate between agency staff only meetings and public meetings.

Agency Staff Only Meetings

**March 13, 2019
May 8, 2019
July 10, 2019**

Meetings Open to the Public

**April 10, 2019
June 12, 2019
August 12, 2019**

Lunch, including beverage and tip, is available at a cost of **\$30.00** per person and must be paid in cash. **No credit cards.** Payment will be collected during the meeting. To ensure adequate food and seating for everyone, please RSVP your attendance and whether you will be purchasing lunch by close of business, Friday, February 9, 2019 to David Leger at: DavidL@southbaycities.org

12:00 p. m. Self-Introductions & Approval of the February 13, 2019 IWG Meeting Notes (Attachment A)

12:05 p. m. Agency & Other Update Reports

- **SBCCOG** – Program update – Jacki Bacharach
- **SOUTH BAY TRAFFIC FORUM, LA County DPW** – Update
- **Caltrans** – Update
- **L. A. Metro** - Updates
 - **L. A. Metro Board Actions** - Update
 - **Metro TAC & Streets and Freeway Subcommittee Actions**
 - **South Bay Representation on Metro TAC and Metro Streets and Freeways Sub-Committee**

12:10 p. m. Measure R SBHP

- **Annual Program Evaluation Summary Table** (Attachment B – to be distributed at meeting)

12:15 p. m. Measure M MSPs

- **Initial Measure M Sub-Regional Programs Project Lists Update**

12:20 p. m. Other Updates (Attachment C)

- **Metro’ “Re-imagining L. A. County” & 28 By 2028 Project Acceleration**
- **Metro ExpressLanes Update**
- **Metro’s LA County Curbside Mobility Demand Discussion Forum**
-

12:40 p. m. Spotlight Presentation: Measure W Stormwater Funding Program Update – L. A. County Department of Public Works

1:25 p. m. Three-Month Look Ahead (Attachment D)

1:30 p. m. Announcements / Adjournment

NOTE: The April 10, 2019 IWG meeting is open to the general public. To include an item on the April 10th agenda, e-mail to lantzsh10@gmail.com by April 1, 2019.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

**South Bay Cities Council of Governments
Infrastructure Working Group Meeting Notes – February 13, 2019**

Attendees: Chair Stephanie Katsouleas (Manhattan Beach); William Mendoza & Kevin Kwak (Gardena); Akbar Farokhi & Alan Leung (Hawthorne); Louis Atwell (Inglewood); Carla Dillon (Lomita); Ted Semaan, Didar Khandker & Brad Lindahl (Redondo Beach); David Wahba (Rolling Hills Estates); Rob Beste (Torrance); Jimmy Shih (Caltrans); William Johnson & Patrick Smith (LA County DPW); Isidro Panuco, Catherine Saint (Metro); Vic Nol (SCE); Tom Bakaly, Jacqueline Sun (Beach Cities Health District); Jacki Bacharach, Steve Lantz & David Leger (SBCCOG); David Grethen (Hermosa Beach PW Commission); Jeanne Ogar, Jennifer Johnson (GPS); Sarah Baker (ICF); Viggen Davidian (Iteris); Sri Chakravathy, Vikas Sharma (Kimley-Horn); Juanita Martinez (NCE); Jeff Cooper (NV5); Chris Buscarino (Parsons); Anissa Voyiatez (Psomas); Doug Preble (Quantum); Diego Cadena (WKE)

I. **Self-Introductions and Approval of January 9, 2019 Meeting Notes** – Chair Stephanie Katsouleas called the meeting to order at 12:01 pm. The January 9, 2019 meeting notes were approved without objection.

II. **Agency & Other Reports**

SBCCOG: Ms. Bacharach gave the following updates:

- Homelessness: The SBCCOG recently organized a showing of American Street Kid, a documentary on homeless youth. A second showing is being organized.
- General Assembly: the 20th General Assembly is taking place Thursday, February 28th at the Carson Community Center from 9 am - 3 pm. The theme of this year's General Assembly is "Celebrating the past and shaping the future" and will include panels of local historians, experts on happiness, aging, and diversity, as well as a keynote address from the CEO of the LA Times, Patrick Soon-Shiong.
- SBCCOG Office Space: The SBCCOG lease is up in November 2019 and a relocation will be likely. If any attendees know of municipal building space available for rent, please contact Ms. Bacharach.
- Committee Openings: There are openings on the Metro TAC and Streets and Freeways Sub-Committee, as well as the Metro South Bay Service Council. Those interested in serving should contact Ms. Bacharach. See handout with details online here:
http://southbaycities.org/sites/default/files/infrastructure/HANDOUT_2.19%20Metro%20TAC%20and%20SHSS%20rep%20recruiting%20v2.pdf

South Bay Traffic Forum, LA County DPW: Patrick Smith provided brief updates on the County's ITS and TSSP projects. For more details on these projects, the full report can be found on the SBCCOG website at:
http://southbaycities.org/sites/default/files/infrastructure/HANDOUT_February%202019%20South%20Bay%20Traffic%20Forum%20Report.pdf

Caltrans: Mr. Shih reported that the 405/Crenshaw project is still on schedule and is expected to be listed for construction in March 2020. Work also continues on the 405/110 interchange project. Mr. Shih will attempt to coordinate a meeting with the new PCH Project Manager and the South Bay cities through which the project goes.

L. A. **Metro Updates**

- **L.A. Metro Board:** Mr. Panuco reported that Ms. Catherine Saint will be attending IWG meetings in the future. Mr. Panuco reported that the Measure R SBHP budget request will be going to the Metro Board in April. Mr. Panuco also reported that the South Bay Measure M MSP project list review will begin shortly.
- **Metro TAC & Streets and Freeway Subcommittee:** Mr. Beste announced that there was a presentation on the Metro Staff review of project acceleration as part of the 28 by 28 process, including the use of local funding to help accelerate a project. No report given on Streets and Freeways Subcommittee.

III. **Measure R SBHP / Measure M MSP**

– **Annual Program Evaluation Tool and Summary Table**

Mr. Lantz reviewed the new APE report, explaining that the report starts over each July. If there are any errors noted, let David Leger know so the edits can be made. Mr. Lantz added that it is very important for those jurisdictions who submitted requests for Measure R SBHP funding to work with Mr. Panuco to address his questions on the project applications. The item will be reviewed at the Metro Board in April, so updates must be provided in time for that meeting.

The report can be viewed online at:

http://southbaycities.org/sites/default/files/infrastructure/HANDOUT_January%202019%20APE%20Report.pdf

– **Initial Tier 1 Measure M Subregional Program Project List**

Mr. Lantz reported that the same process as Measure R will be followed in Measure M. If Metro has any questions after project applications have been submitted, it is important for applicants to respond to the questions in a timely manner so that the project can be considered by the Metro Board for approval. Mr. Lantz went on to explain the Measure M MSP Project Selection Committee’s tiering decisions, noting that most projects were approved for either Tier 1 or 2 funding, which would be available beginning July 1, 2019. Only a handful of projects were deferred or denied. For more detail, the handouts are available online at:

http://southbaycities.org/sites/default/files/infrastructure/HANDOUT_Attachment%20C%20Full%20Item_Initial%20Measure%20M%20MSP%20Project%20List.pdf

IV. **Other Program Updates**

– **Metro’s “Reimagining L.A. County: Mobility, Equity, and the Environment”**

Ms. Bacharach explained that this is the new title for the former “28 by ‘28” program. She added that there are many areas of concern in the plan, and that the Metro PAC will be sending a consensus letter to Metro regarding their common concerns, and that the SBCCOG will be sending a supplement letter with its specific concerns. One of the major concerns is that congestion pricing is being talked about as a funding tool, not a congestion management tool, which has already been attempted and failed.

– **Metro ExpressLanes**

Mr. Lantz reported that meetings are still ongoing between Metro/COG/City staff regarding the proposal to use surplus revenues from the I-110 ExpressLanes to fund the expansion of the ExpressLanes network throughout the county via a loan process. There are continuing developments that have shifted discussions on the specifics of how a loan might work. Further updates will be provided.

– **Goods Movement**

Mr. Lantz reported that Metro will be going to a Goods Movement Strategic Plan review meeting. One item that might be included is an engineering standard for CSTAN Network roads, which will help guide a city when they are reconstructing a CSTAN road. This standard will help them build the road to withstand the heavy loads that come with trucking, particularly as EV trucks are deployed.

V. **Spotlight Presentation**

– **Metro Microtransit Project**

The presentation was rescheduled for a future meeting.

VI. **Three-Month Look Ahead** – Received and filed.

VII. **Announcements & Adjournment**

Chair Katsouleas adjourned the meeting at 12:50 pm until March 13, 2019 (agency only meeting). To include an item on the agenda, please email Steve Lantz (lantzsh10@gmail.com) by March 1, 2019.

South Bay Cities Council of Governments

Attachment C

March 13, 2018

TO: SBCCOG Infrastructure Working Group

FROM: Steve Lantz, SBCCOG Transportation Director

RE: Metro Board Actions Related to Metro's & "28 By 2028" Initiatives

Adherence to Strategic Plan:

Goal A: Environment, Transportation and Economic Development. Facilitate, implement and/or educate members and others about environmental, transportation and economic development programs that benefit the South Bay.

Background

The Metro Board of Directors has been considering a range of funding options to accelerate completion of twenty-eight projects by the 2028 Olympics and to prioritize Metro actions pursuant to "Re-Imagining L. A. County: Mobility, Equity, and the Environment". A white paper was presented to the board on January 24th by Metro CEO Phil Washington. At the Metro Board's February 28, 2019 meeting, the Board provided direction and instructions to the CEO that responded to the staff recommendations and several related board motions dealing with both the Re-Imagining L. A. County strategy and the 28 by 2028 initiative. Exhibit 1 summarizes the motions adopted by the Metro Board at its February 28th meeting.

The Re-Imagining L. A. County initiative proposed potential strategies to close the acceleration funding / financing gap and challenges the Board to broaden the agency's vision beyond acceleration to dramatically improve equity through mobility, eradicating congestion, increasing transit frequency and capacity, and reducing L. A. County's carbon footprint to combat climate change.

Washington's recommended funding toolkit identifies numerous potential funding sources for the 8 underfunded projects in the acceleration plan. It is important to note that the Metro Board instructed staff to pursue all of the identified funding sources with the exception of Local Return funding which they removed from further consideration. The Board supported continued consideration of potential re-programming of up to \$846.4 million of Multi-year Sub-regional Program funding.

Washington's January 2019 board presentation included a list of baseline assumptions and "sacred" priorities that should not be changed in order to accelerate the major projects. The "sacred" items include:

- Ensure funding for the following ancillary projects of systemwide importance:
 - Division 20 expansion for Purple Line subway cars - \$699 million
 - Combined new Rail / Bus Operations Center - \$190 million
 - New Maintenance & Material Management System - \$50 million

- New train radio for existing subway system - \$75 million
- Barrier Wall between Gold Line and I-210 freeway lanes - \$200 million
- Ensure funding to implement the NextGen bus service study
- Preserve annual State of Good Repair allocations at \$475 million per year
- Maintain current debt limits for Propositions A and C and protect Metro’s debt covenants to ensure available operating subsidies are not reduced
- Convert to an all-electric Metro bus fleet by 2030
- Simplify and right-size fares rather than increasing fares
- Pursue creation of a White House Task Force for the 2028 Olympics to generate \$370 million in new revenue

The February 28th Board motions instructed staff that the proposed “Sacred Items” are subject to future Review and Revision if circumstances arise where the Board feels such Review and Revision is warranted.”

One alternative that generated little discussion in January was labeled by staff as “new mobility fees,” that might be imposed for ride hailing services like Uber and Lyft and for private shared e-mobility devices like e-scooters, e-bikes and bike sharing programs. The Board adopted a specific motion at its February 28th meeting on e-mobility services partly out of concern that Metro’s fee program could potentially compete with local jurisdictions that are considering whether to implement local fees as part of their regulatory programs.

The 28 by 2028 Motion identified four of the eight underfunded projects as “pillar projects” having priority over the remaining four. The Green Line extension to Torrance was included as a “pillar project”. The I-405 South Bay Curve project was not.

RECOMMENDATION

The Infrastructure Working Group may receive and file this report.

Exhibit 1

The L. A. Metro Board approved several motions during its 2/28/19 meeting related to the re-imagining of L. A. County and the 28 by 2028 Project Acceleration strategy. The motions are summarized below.

L. A. County: Mobility, Equity, And The Environment Strategy

The Board:

APPROVED the staff recommendations in Item 32 AS AMENDED to:

- A. PURSUE the Transformational Initiatives that are central to “The Re-Imagining of LA County;”
- B. CONTINUE work on the Twenty-Eight by ’28 goal and accelerate the delivery of the remaining eight projects in every feasible way, and report progress to the Board on the acceleration efforts on a quarterly basis; and
- C. DEVELOP proposed funding and financing plans for the accelerated projects, and report back to the Board in ~~September~~ July 2019.

APPROVED Item 32.3, a Motion by Garcetti, Kuehl, Butts, Solis and Hahn that the Board direct the CEO to:

- A. Move forward with a Congestion Pricing Feasibility Study entitled “The Re-Imagining of LA County”;
- B. Ensure the Congestion Pricing Feasibility Study fully addresses and incorporates the parameters identified in the January 2019 Motions 43.1 (Butts) and 43.2 (Solis, Garcetti, Dupont-Walker, Butts, Hahn), which include, but are not limited to, a detailed implementation timeline, cost estimates, sources of funding, and an equity strategy execution plan;
- C. Move forward to explore fees for Transportation Network Company (TNC) trips in Los Angeles County; and
- D. Report back on all the above at the April 2019 Board cycle.

In the referenced Item 43.1 Motion, James Butts raised a number of questions related to the January Board report and included instructions to the CEO. The Board approved the recommended instructions in the motion by reference in the March Item, as follows:

- A. The CEO shall bring forward a schedule on the program approach that details the tasks to be performed during the 12-24 months;
- B. Monitor the State’s Road Charge Program for potential synergistic opportunities and monitor the City of San Francisco’s Congestion Pricing projects for potential lessons learned;
- C. The “Sacred Items” previously proposed for Board Approval are subject to future Review and Revision if circumstances arise where the Board feels such Review and Revision is warranted.

In the referenced item 43.2 Motion by Metro Board Members Solis, Garcetti, Dupont-Walker, Butts, and Hahn the Board directed the CEO by reference in the March item to:

- A. Develop an Equity Strategy that considers reinvesting congestion pricing revenue as a key source of funds to minimize economic impacts to low-income drivers;
- B. In partnership with the Board of Directors, nominate subject matter experts in equity as members of the Advisory Council.
- C. Engage academia, community-based organizations, cities, subregions, and Los Angeles County during the development of the Equity Strategy and consider the effects of congestion pricing on drivers that rely on their vehicles for their livelihood;

- D. Defer inclusion of congestion pricing revenue in any project acceleration financial plan until the completion of the congestion pricing feasibility study and Equity Strategy;
- E. Revise the congestion pricing recommendation language contained in the Board Report to include the directives in this Motion for approval at the February 2019 Board of Directors meeting; and,
- F. Report back on proposed components of the Equity Strategy at the February 2019 Board of Directors meeting.

Twenty-Eight By '28 Initiative Recommendation

APPROVE Motion by Garcetti, Butts, Solis and Hahn related to 2.28.19 Metro Board of Directors Meeting Agenda, Item 32.4 in which the Board directed the CEO to:

- A. Prioritize the following pillar projects to address Twenty-Eight by '28 acceleration funding needs:
 - Gold Line Eastside Extension Phase 2
 - Green Line Extension to Torrance
 - Sepulveda Transit Corridor
 - West Santa Ana Branch to Downtown LA and reaffirm that it is the first priority for P3 investment
- B. Prepare a detailed year-by-year potential financial forecast to deliver Twenty-Eight by '28, prioritizing the four pillar projects in section A, with updated assumptions from the revenue sources listed in Board File 2019- 0089 (Item 32, February 2019);
 - 1. This forecast shall provide an accelerated schedule for the four pillar projects, including detail on when Measure M funds are available compared to accelerated project schedules;
 - 2. The forecast should prioritize low-risk revenue sources, maximize potential funding from state and federal grant and formula programs, and assume efficiencies from P3 realization;
 - 3. The forecast may assume the use of Inter-fund Borrowing, Multi-Year Sub-regional Program Funds and Sub-Regional Equity Program funds under the condition that reprogramming of these sources must be approved by the affected corridor jurisdictions and subregions before the Metro Board assigns the funds for acceleration; and
 - 4. The forecast shall not assume the use of any local return revenues
- C. Report on alternative public and private financing mechanisms not included in Metro's existing financing toolbox that could be used for acceleration of the four pillar projects, including but not limited to Build California Green Bonds;
- D. Conduct a "Constructability Analysis" for the four pillar projects, including scope, costs, risks, P3 status, the use of alternative technology modes, and timelines for environmental clearance, conceptual design, preliminary engineering, and construction;
- E. Adopt the five policy recommendations contained in the Policy Advisory Council letter and incorporate them into the Twenty-Eight by '28 and "Re-Imagining of Los Angeles County" programs;
- F. Maintain the Twenty-Eight by '28 name for this acceleration initiative; and
- G. Report on all the above to the May 2019 Construction and Executive Management Committees.

South Bay Measure R / Measure M Highway Programs

March 2019	April 2019	May 2019
<p>11. SBCCOG Transportation Committee</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SBHP Project APE Report • South Bay Measure M MSP Development Program Update • Recommend Re-Imagining L. A. County Transportation Priorities for South Bay <p>11. SBCCOG Steering Committee</p> <p>13. IWG Agency Staff Meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommend Tier 2 5-Year South Bay Measure M MSP Projects • SBHP Project APE Report • Spotlight: Measure W Stormwater Program Update <p>20. Measure M Task Force</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommend Tier 2 South Bay Measure M MSP Projects <p>28. Metro Board Meeting</p> <p>28. SBCCOG Board Meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approve Tier 2 South Bay Measure M MSP Projects 	<p>8. SBCCOG Transportation Committee</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SBHP Project APE Report • South Bay Measure M MSP Development Program Update <p>8. SBCCOG Steering Committee</p> <p>10. IWG Public Meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SBHP Project APE Report • Spotlight: Caltrans Measure R Projects Update <p>17. Measure M Task Force</p> <p>25. Metro Board Meeting</p> <p>25. SBCCOG Board Meeting</p>	<p>13. SBCCOG Transportation Committee</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SBHP Project APE Report • South Bay Measure M MSP Development Program Update <p>13. SBCCOG Steering Committee</p> <p>8. IWG Agency Staff Meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SBHP Project APE Report • Spotlight: Etherwan Technology Update <p>16. Measure M Task Force</p> <p>23. Metro Board Meeting</p> <p>23. SBCCOG Board Meeting</p>

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK