

Metro held its first Measure M Policy Advisory Council (PAC) meeting on April 5. We discussed the best way to test whether a consensus around a few key issues in the Measure M Guidelines might be reached by the entire PAC that could then be forwarded to the Metro Planning & Programming Committee meeting on May 17, the Executive Management Committee on May 18, and presented to the full Metro Board at its May 25 meeting. We also recognized that there will be issues that are of concern just to certain sub-groups (consumers, providers, and COGs/jurisdictions) and that we should have a process by which those issues can also be advanced to the Metro Board prior to adoption of the Guidelines.

We arrived at the following process:

- 1) Roderick Diaz prepared and sent out a survey to every PAC member to solicit brief issues of concern regarding the Draft Guidelines. The Survey Monkey survey is available at the following link: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/NVCVLLK> Participants may submit multiple issues with one issue on each survey form. The deadline to respond is noon, Monday, April 24.

- 2) Based on that issues raised in the surveys, the three groups will organize a conference call for **April 26 at 11:00 a.m.** during which a representative from each of the three constituents groups may present their main issues of concern. Each subgroup will be asked in advance to pick someone from the group to present the key themes that emerged from their respective subgroup. The goal of the call is to allow everyone on the PAC to hear a concise explanation of the key issues for consideration at the next PAC meeting on May 2.

- 3) The next PAC meeting is scheduled for May 2 at Metro HQ from 10 a.m. to Noon. The proposed agenda for the **May 2** PAC meeting is:
 - I. Recap of Priority Issue Areas – what the survey told us
 - II. Break to vote on priority issues – each member will receive dots to vote on the items of greatest concern. Each subgroup will have a different color dot so we can judge if there is broad consensus on priorities across subgroups.
 - III. Break into Topic Groups based on the voting – assign a notetaker/report back person for each group. We may have 3-5 groups depending on how the priorities settle out. The groups will include reps from each of the subgroups.
 - IV. Group discussion on report backs from Topic Groups
 - V. Discussion of how to handle topics that didn't make the top tier and how each group will provide an appendix to Metro Board report with specifics and expansion of issues around which there was not broad consensus.



METROLINK.

Measure M Guidelines Ideas (Make a New Survey Entry for Each Comment)

1. This Measure M Guidelines Comment is About which section of the Guidelines (Listed in order of appearance in Guidelines):

2. Measure M Guidelines Comment Topic Name -- In 8 words or less, describe the nature of your comment on a specific aspect of the Draft Measure M Guidelines

(Example: "Program X Reporting Requirements Difficulty")

3. In a few sentences, elaborate on the nature of your Measure M Guidelines comment.

(Example: "The reporting requirements in Program X involve too much data collection that would be a burden upon program grantees. It may be more appropriate to focus on statistics "A" and "B". ")

4. My Name is:

5. The organization I work for is:

6. I represent the perspective of:

- Consumers
- Providers
- Jurisdictions (Cities and COGs)

Done

Powered by



See how easy it is to [create a survey](#).