



TO: South Bay Cities COG Board of Directors
FR: Jeff Kiernan, League of California Cities
RE: Cal Cities Update & Priority Bill List for 4/21/2021 Meeting (prepared April 14)

AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT

The League of California Cities hosted Deputy Treasury Secretary Wally Adeyemo to discuss the American Rescue Plan Act on April 14. Although there was very little new information provided, the Secretary did recommend that cities continue to visit www.Treasury.gov/coronavirus for updates.

As a reminder, the US Treasury is required to distribute the first tranche of funds to entitlement cities by May 10; non-entitlement cities' funds will be passed through the State within 30 days of receipt from the Treasury.

UPCOMING EVENTS

June 3 from 6 – 7 PM [LA County Division General Membership Meeting](#)

August 5 from 6 -7 PM LA County Division Annual Installation Ceremony

September 22 – 24: League of California Cities Annual Conference in Sacramento

- YES, we are currently anticipating this will be an in-person conference. Details as they become available at www.CACities.org/AC.

PRIORITY BILLS LIST

More than 2500 bills have been introduced this year and approximately 70% of them are being monitored by the Cal Cities' lobbyists and staff as potentially impacting cities or local control. Following several briefings, I put this list together of legislation that may be of interest to the cities in my region.

It will take some time for us to issue official letters and notify legislative offices of support & opposition. Our priority is to write letters for bills that have been scheduled for a legislative hearing to avoid writing letters on bills that may be amended prior to the hearing or for bills that never make it to a hearing.

Housing

PLANNING AND ZONING

SB 5 (Atkins) Housing Bond.

This measure expresses the intent of the Legislature to authorize the issuance of a bond, of an unspecified amount, to fund housing-related programs.

Cal Cities Position: Pending

SB 6 (Caballero) Local Planning. Housing in Commercial Zones.

This measure would create the Neighborhood Homes Act, which would require cities to allow housing development projects on lots zoned for office or retail commercial that is not adjacent to an industrial use.

Cal Cities Position: Pending Oppose Unless Amended

SB 9 (Atkins) Housing Development Approvals.

This measure would require a local government to ministerially approve a housing development containing two residential units in single-family zones. Additionally, this measure would require local governments to ministerially approve urban lot split.

Cal Cities Position: **Oppose** Unless Amended + **Action Alert**.

UPDATE: SB 9 was amended on April 5th and passed out of Senate Housing on 4/15. New letters indicating opposition to the amended bill will need to be submitted to the Senate EQ committee by noon on April 19. The April 5th amendments indicate that 4 units are the maximum for any currently zoned single family parcel which will not address Cal Cities' concerns and will likely switch to an oppose position before the Senate EQ hearing (scheduled for either April 26 or 29).

SB 10 (Wiener) Planning and Zoning. Density.

This measure would, notwithstanding any local restrictions on adopting zoning ordinances, authorize a local government to pass an ordinance to zone any parcel for up to 10 units of residential density per parcel, at a height specified in the ordinance, if the parcel is located in a transit-rich area, a jobs-rich area, or an urban infill site.

Cal Cities Position: Watch

SB 12 (McGuire) Local government: planning and zoning: wildfires.

Requires local governments to make specified findings on fire standards before permitting zoning within VHFHSZs. Also requires the regional COG to reduce the allocation of those jurisdictions that are within a VHFHSZ during future RHNA allocation processes.

Cal Cities Position: Watch. Cal Cities HCED policy committee requested that we consider SB 12 after overwhelmingly rejecting the approach by Senator Stern in his proposed SB 55.

SB 15 (Portantino) Housing Development: Incentives: Rezoning of Idle Retail Sites.

This measure, upon appropriation by the Legislature in the annual Budget Act or other statute, would require HCD to administer a program to provide incentives in the form of grants allocated to local governments that rezone idle sites used for a big box retailer or a commercial shopping center to instead allow the development of workforce housing.

Cal Cities Position: [Support](#). LA County Division: Co-Sponsor.

SB 32 (Cortese) Energy: General Plan: Building Decarbonization Requirements.

This measure would require a city or county to amend, by January 1, 2023, the appropriate elements of its general plan to include goals, policies, objectives, targets, and feasible implementation strategies, as specified, to decarbonize newly constructed commercial and residential buildings.

Cal Cities Position: Pending – *the intent of this bill would likely prohibit the use of natural gas in new commercial & residential structures*

SB 55 (Stern) Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. State Responsibility Area. Development Prohibition.

This measure would prohibit the creation or approval of a new commercial or residential development in a very high fire hazard severity zone (VHFHSZ) or a state responsibility area (SRA).

Cal Cities Position: Likely Oppose. We continue to work with the author's office on this bill. *See also: SB 12 (McGuire) & AB 1295 (Muratsuchi)*

SB 478 (Wiener) Planning and Zoning Law: Housing Development Projects (as amended on 4/12/21)

This measure would prohibit a local agency from imposing a floor-to-area ratio standard that is less than 1.0 on a housing development project that consists of 3 to 7 units, or less than 1.25 on a housing development project that consists of 8 to 10 units. The bill would prohibit a local agency from imposing a lot coverage requirement that would preclude a housing development project from achieving the floor-to-area ratios described above. The bill would prohibit a local agency from denying a housing development project located on an existing legal parcel solely on the basis that the lot area of the proposed lot does not meet the local agencies requirements for minimum lot size. The bill would only apply to housing development projects that meet specified requirements, including, among other things, that the project be located in a multifamily residential zone or a mixed-use zone, as specified.

Cal Cities Position: Analysis of Amendments Pending

SB 765 (Stern) Accessory Dwelling Units: Setbacks.

This measure would remove the four foot maximum setback requirement and would instead provide that the rear and side yard setback requirements for accessory dwelling units may be set by the local agency. The measure would authorize an accessory dwelling unit applicant to submit a request to the local agency for an alternative rear and side yard setback requirement if the local agency's setback requirements make the building of the accessory dwelling unit infeasible.

Cal Cities Position: [Support](#). *Scheduled to be heard in Senate Housing committee on April 15 if it passes it will go to Senate Appropriations.*

[SB 809 \(Allen\)](#) Regional Housing Trusts.

This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would require all local governments to participate in a regional housing trust fund. *Sponsored by CA Contract Cities Assn.*

Cal Cities Position: Watch – SB 809 is now a two year bill.

[AB 115 \(Bloom\)](#) Planning and Zoning: Commercial Zoning: Housing Development.

This measure would require local governments to allow housing developments in all commercial zones as long as the housing development sets aside at least 20% of the total number of units for affordable housing. This includes for rent and for sale units.

Cal Cities Position: Pending Oppose Unless Amended. We continue to work with the author’s office – *see also SB 6 (Caballero)*

[AB 1295 \(Muratsuchi\)](#) Residential Development Agreements: Very High Fire Risk Areas

This measure, beginning on or after January 1, 2022, would prohibit the legislative body of a city or county from entering into a residential development agreement for property located in a very high fire risk area. The bill would define “very high fire risk area” for these purposes to mean a very high fire hazard severity zone designated by a local agency or a fire hazard severity zone classified by the director.

Cal Cities Position: Muratsuchi does not plan to move AB 1295 until next year. Based on the current language Cal Cities would likely oppose – *see also SB 55 (Stern) & SB 12 (McGuire)*.

[AB 1322 \(Bonta\)](#) Land Use: substantially compliant housing element. (as amended 4/5/21)

This measure would, commencing January 1, 2022, authorize a city council to suspend provisions, via resolution, of a city’s charter or voter adopted measure if the provisions or measures constitute a substantial obstacle to implementation of a timely and compliant housing element.

Cal Cities Position: Pending. With Assembly Member Bonta’s nomination to become California’s next Attorney General, this bill is will need to be picked up by another author to proceed.

[AB 1401 \(Friedman\)](#) Residential and Commercial Development: Parking Requirements.

This measure would prohibit a local government from imposing a minimum parking requirement, or enforcing a minimum parking requirement, on residential, commercial, or other development if the development is located on a parcel that is within one-half mile walking distance of public transit or located within a low-vehicle miles traveled area.

Cal Cities Position: **Oppose.**

HOMELESSNESS

[AB 816 \(Chiu\)](#) State and Local Agencies: Homelessness Plan.

This measure would, on or before January 1, 2023, require each local agency to submit to HCD an actionable county-level plan for meeting specific annual benchmarks, with the goal of reducing homelessness by 90% by 2029. Additionally, this measure would allow the Inspector General to bring an action against the state, a local agency, or a city to compel compliance with the homelessness action plan.

Cal Cities Position: Likely oppose. Scheduled for a hearing in Assembly Housing & Community Development on 4/29.

[AB 1372 \(Muratsuchi\)](#) Right to Temporary Shelter.

This measure would require every city, or every county in the case of unincorporated areas, to provide every person who is homeless with temporary shelter, mental health treatment, resources for job placement, and job training until the person obtains permanent housing if the person has actively sought temporary shelter in the jurisdiction for at least 3 consecutive days and has been unable to gain entry into all temporary shelters they sought for specified reasons. The measure would require the city or county to provide a rent subsidy, if it is unable to provide temporary shelter. This measure would also authorize a person who is homeless to enforce the bill’s provisions by bringing a civil action.

Cal Cities Position: Muratsuchi does not plan to move AB 1372 until next year. Based on the current language Cal Cities would likely oppose.

DEVELOPMENT FEES

[SB 695 \(Ochoa Bogh\)](#) Mitigation Fees.

This measure would make numerous changes to how cities impose development fees. Changes include expanding nexus study requirements to include dedications of parkland or in-lieu fees imposed under the Quimby Act, and construction excise taxes. This measure would prohibit a housing impact requirement from exceeding the amount necessary to maintain the existing level of service identified in the nexus study for the type of capital facility for which the housing impact requirement is imposed.

Cal Cities Position: Watch. Likely a two year bill.

[AB 59 \(Gabriel\)](#) Mitigation Fee Act: Fees: Notice and Timelines.

Existing law allows a party to challenge a connection fee or capacity charge within 120 days from the date the agency adopts the fee or charge. Once the statute of limitations expires, fee structures are protected from lawsuits, allowing agencies to invest the revenue from those fees in ways that benefit future development. This measure would remove this certainty by repealing section 66022 and allowing agencies to be sued every time they assess the fee or charge on an applicant for a new service connection.

Cal Cities Position: Watch. Likely a two year bill.

[AB 602 \(Grayson\)](#) Development Fees. Impact Fee Nexus Study

Would require, on and after January 1, 2022, a city, county, or special district that conducts an impact fee nexus study to follow specific standards and practices, including, but not limited to, (1) that prior to the adoption of an associated development fee or exaction, an impact fee nexus study be adopted, (2) that the study identify the existing level of service for each assessed impact, identify the proposed new level of service, explain the level of metric being used, and include a finding of why the new level of service is necessary, and (3) that a fee levied or imposed on a housing development project by a local agency be proportionate to the square footage of the proposed unit or units. Also requires a city, county, or special district to post a written fee schedule or a link directly to the written fee schedule on its website.

Cal Cities Position: **Oppose** Unless Amended.

Governance, Transparency, & Labor Relations

ELECTIONS

[SB 443 \(Newman\)](#) Elections: redistricting

This measure would revise the criteria used for local redistricting by changing them to the criteria used for Senate, Assembly, and Congressional districts.

Cal Cities Position: **Oppose**.

BROWN ACT

[AB 339 \(Lee\)](#) Local Government: open meetings

This measure would require all meetings to allow attendance via a call-in option or an internet-based service, the translation of agendas and documents explaining how to access the meeting in languages spoken by 5% of the jurisdictions population, and public agencies to employ a sufficient number of translators to provide simultaneous translation services during a meeting.

Cal Cities Position: **Oppose**

[AB 361 \(R. Rivas\)](#) Open meetings: local agencies

This measure would codify the Governor's executive orders relating to the Brown Act in the case of a statewide or local declared emergency.

Cal Cities Position: Watch

[AB 703 \(Rubio\)](#) Open meetings: local agencies

This measure would remove the current teleconferencing requirements from law and requires local agencies to implement a system for resolving ADA issues swiftly if the entire meeting is teleconferenced.

Cal Cities Position: Watch.

[AB 1053 \(Gabriel\)](#) City selection committee: quorum: teleconferencing.

Would allow City Selection Committee meetings to lower quorum requirements to one-third and allow meetings to be conducted by teleconference and electronic means.

Los Angeles County Division Position: [Support](#). [DIVISION LETTER](#)

PENSIONS

[SB 278 \(Leyva\)](#) Public Employees' Retirement System: disallowed compensation

This measure would require in instances of disallowed compensation that a public agency must continue to paying a retiree the amount reduced in their retirement allowance.

Cal Cities Position: **Oppose + Action Alert**. *SB 278 is in Senate Appropriations, but has passed out of two Senate committees with just a single no vote; please call your Senators.*

Environmental Quality & Community Services

SOLID WASTE / RECYCLING

[SB 619 \(Laird\)](#) SB 1383 Implementation

Delays implementation of SB 1383 related (Lara, 2016) to organic waste recycling. Cal Cities is highly engaged with Senator Laird on this issue, additional updates and information at the Cal Cities [Organic Waste Recycling page](#).

Cal Cities Position: Pending. Bill language still not available, but contact me if you are interested in a support in concept letter for a one year delay in implementing SB 1383. More information on our [SB 1383 implementation page](#).

[AB 818 \(Bloom\)](#) Disposable wipes

Would require certain disposable wipes manufactured on or after July 1, 2022, to be labeled clearly and conspicuously with the phrase "Do Not Flush" and a related symbol

Cal Cities & Los Angeles County Division Position: [Support](#)

CLIMATE CHANGE & AIR QUALITY

[AB 50 \(Boerner Horvath\)](#) Climate Adaptation Center and Regional Support Network: sea level rise.

Would establish the Climate Adaptation Center and Regional Support Network in the Ocean Protection Council to provide local governments facing sea level rise challenges with information and scientific expertise necessary to proceed with sea level rise mitigation.

Cal Cities Position: Watch

[SB 30 \(Cortese\)](#) Building decarbonization

Would, on or after January 1, 2022, prohibit a state agency from designing or constructing a state facility that is connected to the natural gas grid. The bill would require the department to develop the California State Building Decarbonization Plan that will lead to the operational carbon-neutrality of all state-owned buildings by January 1, 2035.

The bill would prohibit state agencies from providing funding or other support for projects for the construction of residential and nonresidential buildings that are connected to the natural gas grid.

Cal Cities Position: Watch – *likely will go to Cal Cities policy committee*

ENERGY & UTILITIES

[SB 617 \(Wiener\)](#) Residential solar energy systems: permitting

Would require every city and county, over 10,000 population, to implement an online, automated permitting platform that verifies code compliance and instantaneously issues permits for a residential photovoltaic solar energy system and

an energy storage system paired with a residential photovoltaic solar energy system. Encouraging the use of the SolarAPP+, app. developed by the US Dept. of Energy
Cal Cities Position: Lean oppose; recent amendments ease some concerns.

SB 612 (Portantino) Electrical corporations and other load-serving entities: allocation of legacy resources.

CA Community Choice Association sponsored spot bill dealing w/ Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (i.e. charge related to power contracts initiated by SoCal Edison prior to creation of CCA)
Cal Cities Position: [Support](#). Scheduled for committee hearing on April 26.

AB 1124 (Friedman) Solar energy systems.

Follow up to AB 1414 (Friedman, 2017), which set \$450 cap on permitting. Would revise the definition of “solar energy system” to include any structural design feature by eliminating the provision that it be a feature of a building.
Cal Cities Position: Concerns / Watch

SEA LEVEL RISE

SB 1 (Atkins) Coastal resources: sea level rise

Creates the California Sea Level Rise State and Regional Support Collaborative. Upon appropriation, the Collaborative can expend \$100 million in grants to locals to update local and regional land use plans to take into account sea level rise, and for directly related investments to implement those plans
Cal Cities Position: Watch

SB 83 (Allen) California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank: Sea Level Rise Revolving Loan Program

Creates the Sea Level Rise Revolving Loan Program within the I-Bank to provide low-interest loans to local jurisdictions for the purchase of coastal properties in their jurisdictions identified as vulnerable coastal property.
Cal Cities Position: Watch

WILDFIRES

AB 1403 (Levine) Emergency services.

This bill would additionally include a deenergization, defined as a planned public safety power shutoff, as specified, within those conditions constituting a state of emergency and a local emergency.
Cal Cities Position: [Support](#)

SB 52 (Dodd) State of emergency: local emergency: sudden and severe energy shortage: planned power outage.

This bill would expand the definition of “sudden and severe energy shortage” to include a “deenergization event,” defined as a planned power outage, as specified, and would make a deenergization event one of those conditions constituting a state of emergency and a local emergency.
Cal Cities Position: [Support](#) – *current law prevents planned events from qualifying as an emergency.*

WATER

AB 377 (Rivas) Water quality: impaired waters. (as amended 3/22/21)

Would completely overhaul the current MS4 and storm water permitting system & require all surface waters to be drinkable, fishable, swimmable by 2050.
Cal Cities & Los Angeles County Division Position: [Oppose](#) (w/ updated letter after the 3/22 amendments)

SB 426 (Rubio) Municipal separate storm sewer systems: financial capability analysis.

Previously sponsored by the LA County Division. Would require the State Water Resources Control Board to establish financial capability assessment guidelines for MS4 permittees that are adequate and consistent when considering the costs to local jurisdictions.
Cal Cities & Los Angeles County Division Position: [Support](#)

[AB 1195 \(C. Garcia\)](#) Southern Los Angeles County Regional Water Agency

Would create the Southern Los Angeles County Regional Water Agency as a regional water agency serving the drinking water needs of the cities, unincorporated areas, and residents in the communities overlying the Central Basin and West Coast Basin aquifers in southern Los Angeles County.

Cal Cities Position: Watch – *unclear on the need for this new agency.*

Transportation, Communications & Public Works

BROADBAND

[AB 14 \(Aguilar Curry\)](#) Communications: California Advanced Services Fund

[SB 4 \(Gonzalez\)](#) Communications: California Advanced Services Fund.

These companion measures would reform the existing CA Advanced Services Fund surcharge to help close the digital divide. These fund CASF beyond the original 2022 sunset date; makes it easier for local governments to apply for these grants; CASF funding can be used to match or leverage federal funding; expands the definition of unserved; creates Bond Financing and Securitization Accounts; and GO-Biz to develop a model for streamlined permits.

Cal Cities Position: [Support](#)

[AB 34 \(Muratsuchi\)](#) Communications: Broadband for All Act of 2022.

\$10 billion general obligation bond measure for the statewide general election ballot on November 8, 2022; funds would be allocated to cities, counties, special districts, school districts, universities, community colleges, state emergency service providers, California Native American tribes, and joint power authorities; 25% of funding reserved for unserved or disadvantaged communities; and to be administered through Department of Technology.

Cal Cities Position: Support in Concept

[SB 378 \(Gonzalez\)](#) Local government: Broadband Infrastructure Development project permit processing: microtrenching permit processing ordinance.

Gives providers of fiber facilities sole authority to determine the method of installation of fiber; and would forbid local governments from “prohibiting, or unreasonably discriminating in favor of or against the use of, aerial installations, open trenching or boring, or microtrenching.”

Cal Cities Position: likely oppose – discussions with the author’s office on amendments are ongoing.

[AB 537 \(Quirk\)](#) Local permitting: broadband projects.

Unnecessarily implements FCC rules around broadband permitting into state law; and prohibits cities and counties from unreasonably discriminating in favor of or against any particular technology in the broadband permitting process.

Cal Cities Position: likely oppose – discussions with the author’s office on amendments are ongoing.

[SB 556 \(Dodd\)](#) Utility poles and support structures: attachments.

Unnecessarily implements FCC rules around broadband permitting into state law requiring cities/counties to make utility poles/traffic signal poles/street light poles available to telecommunications providers; Federal law allows locals to “manage” the public right-of-way; and the mandate of the draft bill does not allow us to manage the public right-of-way.

Cal Cities Position: **Oppose + Action Alert.** *Cal Cities is currently engaged in a lawsuit against the FCC on several of their recent rulings, so implementing them into state law would make that case moot.*

EV CHARGING & ELECTRIC VEHICLES

[AB 970 \(McCarty\)](#) Planning and zoning: electric vehicle charging stations: permit application: approval.

Re-introduction of AB 2168 (McCarty) from last year which we opposed in a coalition with RCRC, CALBO, CSAC, Urban Counties, and APA; creates a 15-day deemed approved permit shot-clock for EV charging stations.

Cal Cities Position: Pending oppose – *unfortunately cities are largely out of compliance with existing law AB 1236, as evidenced by this GO-Biz EV permit streamlining [compliance](#) map. Unfortunately a multi-year effort to bring cities into compliance has not been very successful and GO-Biz is seeking this legislation to increase charging infrastructure availability.*

[SB 542 \(Limón\)](#) Zero-emission vehicles: fees.

Exempts zero-emission vehicles from the \$100 registration fee deposited into the Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Account (i.e. SB 1 \$); establishes tax and DMV fee parity between zero emission trucks and conventional trucks in the same class; and authorizes CARB to determine the amount of taxes and DMV fees paid when purchasing and registering a new gas powered truck and use this determination to set the taxes and fees for zero emission trucks.

Cal Cities Position: Watch

EMERGENCIES

[AB 1100 \(Aguiar-Curry\)](#) Communications service: emergencies and disasters: reports.

Requires the CPUC to collect information from telecommunications service providers about the provider's efforts to repair or replace communications infrastructure that was damaged as a result of an emergency or disaster.

Cal Cities Position: Watch

[SB 341 \(McGuire\)](#) Telecommunications service: outages.

Requires each provider of telecommunications service to maintain a public outage map on its website showing outages; and requires the CPUC to develop and implement backup electrical supply rules requiring providers of telecommunications service to maintain backup electrical supply for their infrastructure sufficient to maintain service for at least 72 hours.

Cal Cities Position: [Support](#)

Rev & Tax

TAX EXEMPTIONS

[SB 771 \(Becker\)](#) Sales and use taxes: zero emission and hybrid vehicles exemption

Exempts electric and hybrid electric vehicles valued under \$25,000.

Cal Cities Position: Pending Oppose

[AB 1288 \(Quirk Silva\)](#) Property tax: exemptions: personal property used for space flight activities.

Permanent and significant expansion of existing exemptions for property taxes on equipment used to support space flight.

Cal Cities Position: Watch – *listed here as information for cities with large aerospace facilities*

SHORT TERM RENTALS

[SB 60 \(Glazer\)](#) Ordinance Violations

Allows cities impose a fine up to \$5,000 for ordinance violations.

Cal Cities Position: Watch – *lean support based on the increase in the violation amount.*

[SB 555 \(McGuire\)](#) Online Short-Term Rental Facilitator Program

Provides an opt-in program for cities to delegate short term rental TOT collection authority to CDTFA. Requires short term rental platforms to register with CDTFA and collect TOT.

Cal Cities Position: Concerns – *seeking input from Cal Cities' Rev & Tax policy committee*

Public Safety

[SB 210 \(Wiener\)](#) Automated license plate recognition systems: use of data.

Would require Automated License Plate Reader (ALPR) data that does not match a hot list be destroyed within 24 hours, preventing law enforcement from utilizing this tool when a vehicle description is not immediately known following a crime.

Cal Cities Position: [Oppose](#) + [Action Alert](#)

[SB 98 \(McGuire\)](#) Public peace: media access.

Would require media access to law enforcement command posts and closed areas and would prohibit law enforcement from intentionally assaulting, interfering with, or obstructing a duly authorized representative who is gathering,

receiving, or processing information for communication to the public. Would also prohibit a duly authorized representative who is in a closed area from being cited for the failure to disperse, a violation of a curfew, or a violation of other, specified law.

Cal Cities Position: Watch – *concerns*

SB 57 (Wiener) Controlled substances: overdose prevention program.

Would, until January 1, 2027, authorize the City and County of San Francisco, the County of Los Angeles, and the City of Oakland to approve entities to operate overdose prevention programs through “safe injection sites”. The bill would require the City and County of San Francisco, the County of Los Angeles, and the City of Oakland, prior to authorizing an overdose prevention program in its jurisdiction, to provide local law enforcement officials, local public health officials, and the public with an opportunity to comment in a public meeting.

Cal Cities Position: Watch

SB 82 (Skinner) Petty theft.

Creates a new category of “petty theft in the first degree” for thefts under \$950 that may involve very minimal force or fear but did not cause serious injury or the use of a deadly weapon. Categorizes petty theft that does not involve any force or fear as “petty theft in the second degree”. Prohibits either category of petty theft from being charged as robbery or burglary. Applies this change retroactively allowing individuals convicted of robbery to apply for resentencing if they meet the criteria.

Cal Cities Position: **Oppose.**

AB 1034 (Bloom) Cannabis: retail preparation, sale, or consumption of noncannabis food and beverage products.

Authorizes a local jurisdiction to allow for the preparation or sale of noncannabis food or beverage products by a licensed retailer or microbusiness in the area where the consumption of cannabis is allowed.

Cal Cities Position: Watch