



1400 K Street, Suite 400 • Sacramento, California 95814  
Phone: 916.658.8200 Fax: 916.658.8240  
[www.cacities.org](http://www.cacities.org)

November 13, 2013

To: Members of the California State Legislature  
From: Dan Carrigg, Legislative Director, LCC  
Re: **League 2013 Legislative Vote Records on Key City Bills**

Please find enclosed a copy of the League of California Cities vote record report on key city bills for the 2013 legislative session.

In 2013, the League focused on sponsoring and supporting legislation to help develop new community and economic development tools and funding options for city services. These efforts included proposals developed by the League's 2012 Task Force on the Next Generation of Economic Development Tools and legislation focused on addressing issues with redevelopment dissolution, affordable housing, and infrastructure development. Several helpful bills made it through the process and were signed into law, but many proposals for new tools and resources stalled or were held back from the Governor's desk. On other fronts, it was another busy year for defending local control on measures that would undercut local authority and revenues.

The League compiled the votes on floor actions for 14 bills important to cities during the 2013 session. These bills have been organized into subgroups focusing on economic development and local control. The votes for each subgroup are reflected then combined into a final percentage. The League also provided special thanks and recognition in the report for a number of members who authored important bills or provided other critical assistance to the League during the legislative year. Members receiving such recognition are identified by a "SP.REC" sign next to the final vote percentage.

City officials are by nature problem solvers more interested in improving their respective communities than rehashing the past. They want to move forward. California cities are home to over 83% of the state's population and serve as its primary centers of commerce and civic life. Our state faces many challenges with its infrastructure, transportation, affordable housing and urban revitalization needs that must be addressed to restore its economic prosperity, combat climate change and provide quality and sustainable services. Both the state and cities play vital roles in serving the people of California, and we simply can't do it without each other. City leaders and the League welcome a dialogue with you in 2014 about how we can better collaborate to serve the people of California and our cities. Strong and vibrant cities serve as the foundation for a prosperous state. We welcome the chance to work with you in partnership to move California, its cities and its residents forward.

Thank you for your public service. Please let me know if you have any questions about this report. I can be reached at (916) 658-8222 or [carriggd@cacities.org](mailto:carriggd@cacities.org).

## 2013 Legislative Vote Records on Key City Bills

The 2013 Legislative Session was highlighted by the improvement in state budget conditions after the passage of Proposition 30, a Democrat supermajority and the entry into the legislature of many new members influenced by the top-two primary with the opportunity to serve up to 12 years in one house. Cities continued to face local budget challenges and the aftermath of redevelopment elimination. The League supported many economic development measures that would address various aspects of redevelopment dissolution including proposals which would assist in the development on new infrastructure and affordable housing. The League also opposed measures which would erode local authority and flexibility.

The League compiled the final floor actions on 14 bills important to cities during the 2013 session. These bills have been organized into subgroups focusing on economic development and local control. Votes consistent with the League's position are marked with a "+" sign, and those counter to the League's position are marked with a "-" sign. Abstentions, which can be important, are reflected with either "NV+" or "NV-" sign. Absences are noted with an "ABS" sign. Several members were assigned an incomplete "INC" because they missed many listed votes due to being elected to other offices during the year or illness. The League also provided special thanks and recognition (detailed below) for a number of members who authored important bills or provided other critical assistance to the League during the legislative year. Members receiving such recognition are identified by a "SP.REC" sign next to the final vote percentage.

### Some Comments and Cautions on Vote Records:

- 1) The most powerful tool a legislator has is their vote and they should be held accountable for them. Legislators that score well should be thanked for their efforts and attention to city issues. Yet the challenge with vote records is that a sampling of legislative floor votes does not always provide the full story. The League took action on many bills that are not on this list. Some bills were stopped in committees; others were amended to remove concerns. A legislator may have helped in committee or in behind-the-scenes efforts to secure a helpful amendment or broker a compromise. A legislator may have sponsored legislation or voted on other measures important to their city not reflected in this listing. The League has highlighted other actions by providing special recognitions.
- 2) Keep the relative importance of these bills and how they affect your community in mind. For some cities a vote on enterprise zone elimination, undercut their charter city authority, or address redevelopment dissolution may outweigh most other bills on the list. There also may be bills important to your city that are not on this list.
- 3) Protecting local control and revenue in the legislature usually means opposing or seeking amendments on bills backed by powerful interests. Thus "No" votes and abstentions on bills the League is opposed to should often carry more weight.
- 4) All except one of the bills on this list are authored by Democrats. The budget can be passed by a simple majority and the Democrats have a numerical majority on all committees. Few Republican-authored bills make it through the process. Most bills on the list only required a majority vote. Democrats have 28 out of 40 seats in the Senate and 55 out of 80 seats in the Assembly. This means that the Democrat majority can pass bills without needing Republican votes. It also means that not all Democrats need to vote for bills.
- 5) In several instances a vote in only one house is reflected, because the measure was either stopped by that vote on the floor, amendments were secured prior to the floor vote in the second house, or in the two-year session the bill has not yet been voted upon in the second house.

## Special Legislative Thanks and Recognitions:

### In the Assembly:

- Assembly Members Katcho Achadjian and Marc Levine deserve special thanks for their bi-partisan leadership on the Assembly Local Government Committee and rigorous review of legislation for impacts on local government; they held the line on many bills by either stopping them in committee or insisting upon amendments to preserve local authority. Mr. Levine emerged as a vocal leader on local control issues among his Democrat colleagues who form a majority of committee's membership.
- Assembly Member Alejo worked closely with the League in his authorship of AB 1080, a measure that would restore redevelopment authority for disadvantaged communities. While the League strongly opposed early versions of his AB 325, we appreciated his efforts to work to reach a negotiated solution prior to the bill being heard on the Senate Floor.
- Assembly Republican Leader, Connie Conway, and the members of her Republican Caucus, for their staunch support for local control demonstrated in committee and floor votes throughout the year.
- Numerous Assembly Members carried bills supported or sponsored by the League to address various aspects of redevelopment dissolution: Assembly Member Mullin authored League-sponsored AB 564 focused on limiting future uncertainty from cities receiving a DOF finding of completion. Assembly Member Richard Bloom authored AB 981 which would allow proceeds from post-2011 RDA bonds to be expended for projects. Assembly Member Chris Holden authored AB 294, which would allow the State Infrastructure Bank to invest tax increment from the school share of property taxes in projects that matched state and regional goals. Assembly Member Mike Gatto carried AB 440 to restore 'Polanco Act' brownfield remediation authority to cities and counties. Assembly Member V. Manuel Pérez authored AB 305, which would assist economic development in disadvantaged areas with a California New Markets Tax Credit.
- Assembly Member Toni Atkins authored AB 1229 to restore local authority for inclusionary zoning. She also continued to attempt to resolve redevelopment dissolution interpretation issues affecting affordable housing and other projects with the Department of Finance, and led an internal group of legislators in an effort to develop new tools and solutions.
- Assembly Speaker John A. Pérez authored AB 639, which will place before the voters a measure to provide more flexibility for \$600 million in unused veterans housing bond authority. Assembly Member Jimmy Gomez via his AB 1147, worked closely with the League to delve into emerging problems with massage establishments, and discussions will continue next year. Assembly Member Bonnie Lowenthal, with AB 574, and Richard Gordon, with AB 416, worked with the League and other local agencies on measures to allow local agencies to access Cap and Trade revenues. Assembly Member Diane Harkey helped fix a glitch in the local elections process by carrying League-sponsored AB 1316. Assembly Member Marie Waldron with AB 20 helped advance protections against child pornography advocated for in a 2012 League' annual conference resolution. Assembly Member Susan Talamantes Eggman authored AB 748 to preserve local tax dollars by ensuring current interest rates were applied on judgments owed by public agencies. Assembly Member Al Muratsuchi was the lone Democrat to not vote in policy committee for the early version of SB 594 (Hill), which would have undercut the League's and other non-profit organization's ability to advocate on ballot measures.

### In the Senate:

- Senator Lois Wolk continued efforts to make Infrastructure Financing District law into a useful

tool through her authorship of SB 33. Also appreciated is her assistance with amendments to improve AB 562 (Williams), her resistance to interfering with local land use and contracting authority by holding AB 667 and AB 1333 in the Senate Governance and Finance Committee, and providing a “No” vote on SB 673 that helped stop it on the Senate Floor.

- Senators Richard Roth and Bill Emmerson for their efforts, via SB 56 and other means, to assist communities harmed and the future negative policy impacts of SB 89 of 2011, which swept city shares of vehicle license fees from cities. Senator Jerry Hill helped address a problem with advertising displays in former redevelopment areas through his authorship of League-sponsored SB 684. Senator Hannah-Beth Jackson helped secure helpful changes to mobilehome park conversion laws with SB 510.
- Senate Republican Leader Bob Huff for his consistent support, along with members of his caucus, with their votes for the principle of local control.
- Senator Rod Wright for his authorship of League-supported SB 470, which provides additional flexibility for property disposal. Senator Wright is also commended for continuing to remind his colleagues, on behalf of impoverished areas and individuals, of both the negative consequences of redevelopment dissolution on urban areas, and eloquent opposition to enterprise zone elimination.
- Senator Mark DeSaulnier for his leadership in crafting SB 391, which would provide an annual allocation of approximately \$500 million for affordable housing funds.
- Senators Fran Pavley and Ben Hueso for working to encourage a negotiated solution to AB 325 in the Senate, supporting AB 1229, and helping stop SB 673 on the Senate Floor. Senator Norma Torres for casting tough floor votes consistent with the League’s positions for AB 1229, and against SB 7 and SB 673.

#### **Ways to Use This Document:**

- 1) Have a conversation with your legislator about the 2013 Session, and include the vote record as part of that conversation.
- 2) If your legislator has a good record on local control, thank them. They deserve it.
- 3) If your legislator’s vote record is weak, ask them why. Members often try to find ways of helping while avoiding bucking leadership or a powerful sponsor. Ask your legislator what else you should take into account.
- 4) Evaluate the conversation. Is there a reliable commitment for a continued or *improved* level of responsiveness to their city’s issues?
- 5) For elected city officials that provide legislative endorsements consider whether or not the legislator merits your support. With the top-two primary, these endorsements are more valuable than ever.

#### **Legislation Included on League 2013 Vote Record**

##### **AB 93 (Budget Committee). Enterprise Zone Elimination.**

##### **League Position: Oppose (Senate and Assembly Floor Vote)**

This budget trailer bill eliminated the state’s enterprise zone program, which was an important tool to attract businesses and create jobs in impoverished areas. This program was replaced with three alternatives: a statewide sales tax exemption for purchase of manufacturing equipment, an allocation of funds for the state’s GO BIZ Program, and an alternative hiring tax credit program focused on higher-wage jobs. While it can be argued that these alternative programs support statewide economic activity, many communities with enterprise zones are unlikely to similarly benefit from these new programs. Signed by Governor.

**AB 325 (Alejo). Land Use and Planning: Cause of Actions: Time Limitations**

**League Position: Oppose (Assembly vote)**

The version of this measure voted on the Assembly Floor on 5/31/13 extended the statute of limitations to challenge lawfully-adopted housing elements from the current one year and 150 days to over four years. The League opposed this measure due to the lengthy exposure of cities to litigation. Later in the legislative session, prior to the bill being heard on the Senate Floor, the League and other local government organizations reached a compromise with the author and sponsors. The League was Neutral on the final version of this measure that passed both houses in September. Signed by Governor.

**AB 440 (Gatto). Brownfield Remediation**

**League Position: Support (Senate and Assembly Floor Vote)**

This measure grants cities, counties and housing authorities the power to access the 'Polanco Act' brownfield remediation tool that was suspended with the elimination of redevelopment. This law provides for the expedited removal of hazardous substances from existing urban parcels, in accordance with state-approved clean-up plans. Signed by Governor.

**AB 537 (Bonta). Meyers-Milias Brown Act. Impasse Procedures**

**League Position: Oppose, Unless Amended (Senate and Assembly Floor Vote)**

This measure in final form imposed several practical procedural and implementation problems for local agencies by changing the process for accepting "tentative agreements" in collective bargaining and expanding the authority of arbitrators to rule on procedural defenses. Signed by Governor.

**AB 564 (Mullin) Local Certainty. Finding of Completion**

**League Position: Support (Senate and Assembly Floor Vote)**

This measure would prohibit the Department of Finance, after an initial review, from altering or reversing actions taken by local agencies to obtain repayment of city-agency loans, access pre-2011 loans and other benefits after receiving a finding of completion. Vetoes by Governor.

**AB 667 (Hernandez). Stores Over 90,000 sq. ft. with 10,000 sq. ft. Selling Groceries.**

**League Position: Oppose (Assembly Floor Vote)**

This measure would have singled out specific retailers (over 90,000 sq. ft. with 10,000 sq. ft. selling groceries) for an exhaustive economic impact report that would have increased litigation and made it very difficult to approve stores meeting these characteristics. The League opposed this measure due to its interference with local land use decisions. The measure was later held in the Senate Governance and Finance Committee.

**AB 792 (Mullin). Utility User Tax: Exemption.**

**League Position: Oppose (Senate and Assembly Floor Vote)**

This measure exempted from a municipal utility users tax (UUT) the consumption of electricity generated by a clean energy resource until January 1, 2020, for use by a single customer. The League opposed this measure due to potential impacts on local decision-making and revenue. Signed by Governor.

**AB 1080 (Alejo). Community Revitalization and Investment Authorities.**

**League Position: Support (Assembly Floor Vote)**

This measure would have restored a form of redevelopment authority to local agencies focused on deteriorated and poor urban areas. Held in Senate Appropriations.

**AB 1229 (Atkins). Land Use: Zoning Regulations.**

**League Position: Support (Senate and Assembly Floor Vote)**

This measure would restore local authority for inclusionary ordinances for rental housing. Vetoes by Governor.

**AB 1316 (Harkey). Election Ballots. Identical Candidate Names.**

**League Position: Support (Senate and Assembly Floor Vote)**

This League-sponsored measure provides clarifications for addressing ballot measures for candidates with identical names. Signed by Governor.

**AB 1373 (Pérez). Worker's Compensation. Public Safety Officers.**

**League Position: Oppose (Senate and Assembly Floor Vote)**

This measure would significantly increase local costs by doubling the statute of limitations from 240 weeks to 480 weeks for a presumptive death benefit claim for cancer, tuberculosis, or MRSA to be filed on behalf of a firefighter or peace officer. Vetoed by Governor.

**SB 7 (Steinberg and Cannella). Charter Cities: Removal of State Funding for Local Public Works.**

**League Position: Oppose (Senate and Assembly Floor Vote)**

This measure would remove all state construction funding from charter cities operating under Constitutional flexibility for municipal affairs if they approve local projects paid for by local funds but fail to impose the payment of prevailing wages. Signed by Governor.

**SB 33 (Wolk). Infrastructure Financing Districts.**

**League Position: Support (Senate Floor Vote)**

This measure, drafted with League assistance, would accomplish a long-needed rewrite of existing Infrastructure Financing Districts Law which will enable tax increment to assist with the financing with a wide variety of local infrastructure. This bill passed the Senate, but was not taken up on the Assembly Floor, and can be pursued in 2014.

**SB 311 (Padilla). Local Elections. Charter and Charter Proposals.**

**League Position: Oppose (Senate and Assembly Floor Vote)**

This measure removes flexibility for charter cities by requiring all labor-related city charter proposals and city charter amendments to be submitted to the voters only at a statewide general election. Signed by Governor.

**SB 391 (DeSaulnier): Affordable Housing Funding.**

**League Position: Support (Senate Floor Vote)**

This measure would generate approximately \$500 million annually for affordable housing via a tax on various real estate transfer documents. Held in Assembly Appropriations.

**SB 673 (DeSaulnier): Retail and Commercial Facilities.**

**League Position: Oppose (Senate Floor Vote)**

This measure would create unnecessary delays and litigation by imposing an exhaustive analysis upon any commercial development if the project benefits substantially from any financial assistance a state or federal grant, low-interest loan, land donation or acquisition, remediation or environmental cleanup activity. Failed Passage on Senate Floor.

**SB 684 (Hill). Redevelopment Signs.**

**League Position: Support (Senate and Assembly Floor Vote)**

This League-sponsored measure clarifies that existing advertising displays in redevelopment areas can continue to exist, if an extension is requested by the affected city or county. Signed by Governor.



+ Legislator voted with League on a bill.  
 - Legislator voted against League on a bill.  
 NV+ Legislator did not vote, or abstained on a bill supported by the League, which counts as supporting League's position.  
 NV- Legislator did not vote, or abstained on a bill opposed by the League, which counts as opposing the League's position.  
 ABS Legislator was absent from the day's session due to health, legislative district business, or other personal reasons. (Absences are not counted as part of the final ratio.)  
 INC Incomplete, a final score is not provided given an insufficient number of votes.  
 SP-REC The League provided special thanks and recognition for this legislator in attached writeup.  
 N/A Not applicable as the member was not serving as an Assembly Member at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY VOTE RECORD League Position	Economic Development Bills						Local Control Bills										
	6/27	9/12	9/11	Asm. Only 5/28	Asm. Only 5/24	9/10	Asm. Only 5/31	9/12	9/12	5/30	5/9	9/10	9/4	8/8	Ratio	%	Final %
AB 93	AB 440	AB 564	AB 667	AB 1080	SB 684		AB 325	AB 537	AB 792	AB 1229	AB 1316	AB 1373	SB 7	SB 311			
Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Support	Support		OJA	OJA	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	6/8	75%	79%
-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	NV-	+	-	+	+	2/8	25%	43%
-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	6/8	75%	79%
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	3/8	38%	50%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%
NV+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	2/8	25%	43%
-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	5/8	63%	71%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	4/8	50%	57%
-	n/a	n/a	n/a	+	n/a	+	n/a	n/a	n/a	+	+	n/a	n/a	n/a	2/3	INC	INC
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	1/8	13%	36%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	NV-	+	-	-	-	3/8	38%	46%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	50%
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	NV+	+	+	-	-	NV+	4/8	50%	64%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	NV-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%
NV+	NV-	NV-	NV+	NV-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	ABS	4/7	57%	77%
-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	3/8	38%	50%
-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	+	+	-	+	+	7/8	88%	79%
-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	+	+	-	+	+	4/8	50%	64%
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	5/8	63%	71%
-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	1/8	13%	43%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%
+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	+	NV-	+	+	+	6/8	75%	71%
-	NV-	+	NV+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	3/8	38%	50%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	1/8	13%	36%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV-	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	1/8	13%	36%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%
NV+	NV-	+	NV+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	+	+	+	+	+	7/8	88%	86%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	3/8	38%	57%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	n/a	-	-	-	1/7	14%	33%
-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	3/8	38%	50%
-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	4/8	50%	57%
NV+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	2/8	25%	57%
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	6/8	75%	85%

ASSEMBLY VOTE RECORD	Economic Development Bills										Local Control Bills									
	6/27	9/12	9/11	Asm. Only 5/28	Asm. Only 5/24	9/10			Asm. Only 5/31	9/12	9/12	9/10	5/30	5/9	9/10	9/4	8/8			
	AB 93 Oppose	AB 440 Support	AB 564 Support	AB 667 Oppose	AB 1080 Support	SB 684 Support	Ratio	%	AB 325 OUA	AB 537 OUA	AB 792 Oppose	AB 1229 Support	AB 1316 Support	AB 1373 Oppose	SB 7 Oppose	SB 311 Oppose	Ratio	%	Final %	
Hagman, Curt (R-55)	-	+	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	6/8	75%	71%	
Hall, Isadore (D-64)	+	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	2/8	25%	50%	
Harkey, Diane (R-73)	+	+	+	+	-	+	5/6	83%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	6/8	75%	79%	
Hernández, Roger (D-48)	-	NV-	+	+	+	+	3/6	50%	-	-	-	NV-	+	-	-	-	1/8	13%	29%	
Holden, Chris (D-41)	-	+	+	+	+	+	3/4	75%	+	+	-	ABS	+	-	-	-	0/5	INC	INC	
Hueso, Ben (D-80)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0/0	INC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0/0	INC	INC	
Jones, Brian (R-71)	+	NV-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	6/8	75%	71%	
Jones-Sawyer, Reginald (D-59)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%	
Levine, Marc (D-10)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	3/8	38%	57%	
Linder, Eric (R-60)	+	+	+	+	-	+	5/6	83%	+	+	NV+	-	+	+	+	+	6/8	75%	79%	
Logue, Dan (R-03)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	NV+	-	+	+	+	+	5/7	63%	77%	
Lowenthal, Bonnie (D-70)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	NV+	-	+	+	+	+	4/8	50%	57%	
Maienschein, Brian (R-77)	NV+	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	100%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	5/8	63%	79%	
Mansoor, Allan (R-74)	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	+	+	NV+	-	+	+	+	+	7/8	88%	79%	
Medina, Jose (D-61)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	NV+	-	+	-	-	-	3/8	38%	57%	
Melendez, Melissa (R-67)	NV+	+	+	+	-	+	5/6	83%	+	+	-	-	+	-	ABS	+	4/7	57%	69%	
Mitchell, Holly (D-54)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	3/8	38%	50%	
Morrell, Mike (R-40)	+	+	+	+	-	+	5/6	83%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	6/8	75%	79%	
Mullin, Kevin (D-22)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	2/8	25%	43%	
Muratsuchi, Al (D-66)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	5/8	63%	64%	
Nazarian, Adrin (D-46)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%	
Nestande, Brian (R-42)	+	+	+	+	-	+	5/6	83%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	5/8	63%	71%	
Olsen, Kristin (R-12)	NV+	+	+	+	+	+	6/6	100%	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	5/8	63%	79%	
Pan, Richard (D-09)	+	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	+	+	NV+	+	-	-	+	+	4/8	50%	57%	
Patterson, Jim (R-23)	+	+	+	+	-	+	5/6	83%	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	5/8	63%	71%	
Perea, Henry (D-31)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	NV+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	50%	
Pérez, John (D-53)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%	
Pérez, V. Manuel (D-56)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	1/8	13%	36%	
Quirk, Bill (D-20)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	NV+	-	NV+	NV-	+	-	-	-	3/8	38%	50%	
Quirk-Silva, Sharon (D-65)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	1/8	13%	36%	
Rendon, Anthony (D-63)	NV+	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%	
Salas, Rudy (D-32)	-	+	+	+	+	+	3/5	60%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	38%	
Skinner, Nancy (D-15)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%	
Stone, Mark (D-29)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	3/8	38%	50%	
Ting, Philip (D-19)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	0/0	INC	INC	
Torres, Norma (D-52)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0/0	INC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0/0	INC	INC	
Wagner, Donald (R-68)	+	+	+	+	-	+	5/6	83%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	6/8	75%	79%	
Waldron, Marie (R-75)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/5	80%	+	+	-	-	ABS	-	+	+	3/7	43%	58%	
Weber, Shirley (D-79)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%	
Wreckowski, Bob (D-25)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%	
Wilk, Scott (R-38)	+	+	+	+	+	+	5/5	100%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	5/8	63%	77%	
Williams, Das (D-37)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2/8	25%	43%	
Yamada, Mariko (D-04)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	NV+	+	+	-	-	-	3/8	38%	50%	






+ Legislator voted with League on a bill.  
 - Legislator voted against League on a bill.  
 NV+ Legislator did not vote, or abstained on a bill supported by the League, which counts as supporting League's position.  
 NV- Legislator did not vote, or abstained on a bill opposed by the League, which counts as opposing the League's position.  
 ABS Legislator was absent from the day's session due to health, legislative district business, or other personal reasons. (Absences are not counted as part of the final ratio.)  
 INC Incomplete, a final score is not provided given an insufficient number of votes.  
 SP.REC The League provided special thanks and recognition for this legislator in attached writeup.  
 N/A Not applicable as the member was not serving as a Senator at the time of the vote.


SENATE VOTE RECORD	Economic Development Bills					Local Control Bills								Sen Only 5/29	Final %				
	6/25	9/12	9/10	Sen Only 4/11	Sen Only 5/29	9/11	9/11	9/9	9/3	7/1	9/9	9/6	8/15			Ratio			
AB 93	Oppose	Support	Support	Support	Support	Support	Ratio	%	AB 537	AB 792	AB 1229	AB 1316	AB 1373	SB 7	SB 311	SB 673			
Anderson, Joel (R-36)	+	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	5/8	63%	
Beall, Jim (D-15)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	2/8	25%	50%
Berryhill, Tom (R-14)	-	+	+	+	+	+	3/6	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	5/8	63%	57%
Block, Marty (D-39)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	2/8	25%	50%
Calderon, Ronald (D-30)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	3/8	38%	57%
Cannella, Anthony (R-12)	-	+	+	+	+	+	3/6	50%	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	3/8	38%	43%
Corbett, Ellen (D-10)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	2/8	25%	50%
Correa, Lou (D-34)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	NV+	-	NV-	+	-	NV+	ABS	+	4/7	57%	62%
de León, Kevin (D-22)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	NV+	-	-	3/8	38%	57%
DeSaulnier, Mark (D-07)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	2/8	25%	50%
Emmerson, Bill (R-23)	+	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	4/8	50%	57%
Evans, Noreen (D-02)	-	+	+	ABS	+	+	4/5	80%	-	-	+	ABS	-	-	-	-	1/7	14%	42%
Fuller, Jean (R-18)	+	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	+	-	-	+	NV+	+	+	+	6/8	75%	71%
Gaines, Ted (R-01)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	5/8	63%	64%
Galgiani, Cathleen (D-05)	-	+	+	+	+	+	3/6	50%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	2/8	25%	36%
Hancock, Loni (D-09)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	2/8	25%	50%
Hernandez, Ed (D-24)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	3/8	38%	57%
Hill, Jerry (D-13)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	2/8	25%	50%
Hueso, Ben (D-40)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	3/8	38%	57%
Huff, Robert (R-29)	+	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	+	-	-	NV-	-	+	+	+	4/8	50%	57%
Jackson, Hannah-Beth (D-19)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	2/8	25%	50%
Knight, Stephen (R-21)	-	+	+	+	+	+	3/6	50%	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	5/8	63%	57%
Lara, Ricardo (D-33)	-	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	3/8	38%	50%
Leno, Mark (D-11)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	NV-	-	NV+	-	-	2/8	25%	50%
Lieu, Ted (D-28)	+	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	2/8	25%	50%
Liu, Carol (D-25)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	2/8	25%	50%
Monning, William (D-17)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	2/8	25%	50%
Nielsen, Jim (R-04)	+	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	5/8	63%	64%
Padilla, Alex (D-20)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	+	-	+	NV-	-	-	-	-	1/8	13%	43%
Pavley, Fran (D-27)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	3/8	38%	57%
Price, Curran (D-26)	-	n/a	n/a	+	+	n/a	2/3	INC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	-	0/1	INC	INC
Roth, Richard (D-31)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	NV-	+	-	NV+	-	+	3/8	38%	57%
Steinberg, Darrell (D-06)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	2/8	25%	50%
Torres, Norma (D-32)	-	+	+	n/a	+	+	4/5	80%	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	4/8	50%	62%
Vidak, Andy (R-16)	n/a	+	+	n/a	+	+	3/3	100%	+	-	-	n/a	-	+	+	n/a	3/6	50%	67%
Walters, Mimi (R-37)	+	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	+	-	+	+	NV+	+	+	+	7/8	88%	71%
Wolk, Lois (D-03)	+	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	+	-	+	+	NV+	-	+	+	5/8	63%	71%
Wright, Roderick (D-35)	+	+	+	+	+	+	6/6	100%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	2/8	25%	57%
Wyland, Mark (R-38)	+	+	+	+	+	+	4/6	67%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	5/8	63%	64%
Yee, Leland (D-08)	-	+	+	+	+	+	5/6	83%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	1/8	13%	43%

## Vote Distribution in the Assembly



Voting Percentage

## Vote Distribution in the Senate



Voting Percentage